arXiv:1507.06100v1 [math.AP] 22 Jul 2015

LINEAR ADJOINT RESTRICTION ESTIMATES FOR PARABOLOID

CHANGXING MIAO, JUNYONG ZHANG, AND JIQIANG. ZHENG

Abstract. We prove a class of modified paraboloid restriction estimates with a loss of angular derivatives for the full set of paraboloid restriction conjecture indices. This result generalizes the paraboloid restriction estimate in radial case by Shao [16], as well as the result of the authors in [13], which is cone case. As an application, we show a local smoothing estimate for the solution of linear Schrödinger equation if the initial data has some angular regularity.

Key words: Linear adjoint restriction estimate, local restriction estimates, Bessel function, Spherical harmonics

AMS Classification: 42B10, 42B25, 35Q55.

1. Introduction

Let S be a non-empty smooth compact subset of the paraboloid,

$$\{\ (\tau,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n:\tau=|\xi|^2\ \},$$

where $n\geqslant 1$. We denote by $\mathrm{d}\sigma$ the pull-back of the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure $\mathrm{d}\xi$ under the projection map $(\tau,\xi)\mapsto\xi$. Let f be a Schwartz function, and define the inverse space-time Fourier transform of the measure $f\mathrm{d}\sigma$

$$\begin{aligned}(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee(t,x)&=\int_S f(\tau,\xi)e^{2\pi i(x\cdot\xi+t\tau)}\mathrm{d}\sigma(\xi)\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(|\xi|^2,\xi)e^{2\pi i(x\cdot\xi+t|\xi|^2)}\mathrm{d}\xi.\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

The classical linear adjoint restriction estimate for the paraboloid reads as

$$\|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\leqslant C_{p,q,n,S}\|f\|_{L^p(S;\mathrm{d}\sigma)},\tag{1.2}$$

where $1\leqslant p,q\leqslant\infty$. The famous restriction problem is to find the optimal range of p and q such that (1.2) holds. It is easy to verify that

$$q>\frac{2(n+1)}{n},\qquad \frac{n+2}{q}\leqslant\frac{n}{p'},\tag{1.3}$$

is the necessary conditions for (1.2); see [20,26]. Here p' denotes the conjugate exponent of p. The adjoint restriction estimate conjecture on paraboloid is

Conjecture 1.1. *The inequality* (1.2) *holds if and only if* (1.3) *holds.*

There is a large amount of literatures on this problem. For $n=1$, Conjecture 1.1 was solved by Fefferman-Stein [8] for the non-endpoint case and by Zygmund [34] for the endpoint case. Conjecture 1.1 for high dimension case becomes much more difficult. For $n\geqslant 2$, Tomas [31] showed (1.2) for $q>2(n+2)/n$, and Stein [21] fixed the point $q=2(n+2)/n$. Bourgain [1] further proved the estimate (1.2) for $q>2(n+2)/n-\epsilon_n$

with some $\epsilon_n > 0$; in particular, $\epsilon_n = \frac{2}{15}$ when $n = 2$. Along with this line, further improvements were made by Moyua-Vargas-Vega [12] and Wolff [32]. Tao [29] use the bilinear argument to show (1.2) holds $q > 2(n+3)/(n+1)$ for $n \geqslant 2$. The result was improved by Bourgain-Guth [2] when $n \geqslant 4$. This conjecture is so difficult that it remains open. For more details we refer the reader to [2, 26, 28–30, 32].

On the other hand, the restriction conjecture becomes simpler (but not trivial) when the test function has some angular regularity. For example, the Conjecture 1.1 is proved by Shao [16] when the test functions are cylindrically symmetric and supported on a dyadic subset of the paraboloid in the form of

$$\Big\{(\tau,\xi):\ M \leqslant |\xi| \leqslant 2M,\ \ \tau = |\xi|^2,\ \ M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}\Big\}.$$

Indeed, many famous conjectures in harmonic analysis (such as Fourier restriction estimates, Bochner-Riesz estimate etc.) have much easier counterparts when the operators act on radial functions. An intermediate situation is to replace the $L^q_{\rm sph} \triangleq L^q_\theta(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ by $L^2_{\rm sph}$ in (1.2). This intermediate case has been settled for the adjoint restriction estimates for cone by the authors [13]. More precisely, if S is a non-empty smooth compact subset of the cone,

$$\big\{(\tau,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n:\ \ \tau = |\xi|\big\},$$

we have for $q > 2n/(n-1)$ and $(n+1)/q \leqslant (n-1)/p'$,

$$\|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^q_t(\mathbb{R};L^q_{r^{n-1}\mathrm{d}r}L^2_\theta(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))} \leqslant C_{p,q,n,S}\|f\|_{L^p(S;\mathrm{d}\sigma)}. \tag{1.4}$$

The advantage of $L^2_{\rm sph}$ norm allows us to use the spherical harmonics expanding, and so the problems are converted to $L^q(\ell^2)$ bounds for sequences of operators $\{H_k\}$ where each H_k is an operator acting on the radial functions. The pioneering paper using such intermediate space is Mockenhaupt's Diplom-thesis in which he proved weighted L^p inequalities and then sharp $L^p_{\rm rad}(L^2_{\rm sph}) \to L^p_{\rm rad}(L^2_{\rm sph})$ for the disc multiplier operator, see Mockenhaupt [10] or Córdoba [6]. The sharp endpoint bounds for the disk multiplier were obtained by Carbery-Romera-Soria [4]. Müller-Seeger [11] established some sharp mixed spacetime $L^p_{\rm rad}(L^2_{\rm sph})$ estimates to study the local smoothing of the solution for linear wave equation, and Gigante-Soria [9] studied a related mixed norm problem for the Schrödinger maximal operators. On the restriction conjecture, Carli-Grafakos [7] also treated the same problem for the spherically-symmetric functions.

In this paper, we prove the estimate (1.2) holds for all p, q in (1.3), with some loss of angular derivatives. Our strategy is to use the spherical harmonic expanding as well as localized restriction estimates. In contrast to the radial case, e.g. [7, 16], the main difficulty comes from the asymptotic behavior of Bessel function $J_\nu(r)$ when $\nu \gg 1$. It is worth to point out that the method of treating cone restriction [13] is not valid since it can not be used to exploit the curvature property of paraboloid multiplier $e^{it|\xi|^2}$. We notice that the bilinear argument used in [16], which is in spirit of Carleson-Sjölin argument or equivalently the TT^* argument, can be used to deal with the oscillation of the paraboloid multiplier. By this clue one need to write the Bessel function $J_\nu(r) \sim c_\nu r^{-1/2}e^{ir}$ which is easy for small ν (corresponding to the radial case) but seems more complicate for $\nu \gg 1$. Indeed, one can do this when $\nu^2 \ll r$, but it will cause much more loss of derivative for the case $\nu \lesssim r \lesssim \nu^2$ in which it is difficult for one to capture simultaneously the oscillation and decay behavior of $J_\nu(r)$. Our idea is to establish a $L^4_{t,x}$-localized restriction estimate by directly analyzing the kernel associated with the Bessell function. The key ingredient is exploring the decay and oscillation property of $J_\nu(r)$ for $r \gg \nu$, and resonant property of paraboloid multiplier. On the other hand, we have to overcome low decay shortage of $J_\nu(r)$ (when $\nu \sim r \gg 1$) by compensating a loss of angular regularity. The loss of angular regularity fortunately is not too much, exact the gap between L^4_{sph} and L^2_{sph}.

Before stating the main theorem, we introduce some notations. Incorporating the angular regularity, we set the infinitesimal generators of the rotations on Euclidean space:

$$\Omega_{j,k} := x_j\partial_k - x_k\partial_j$$

and define for $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\Delta_\theta := \sum_{j<k} \Omega_{j,k}^2, \quad |\Omega|^s = (-\Delta_\theta)^{\frac{s}{2}}.$$

Hence Δ_θ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. We briefly write $A+\epsilon$ as A_+ or $A-\epsilon$ as A_- for $0<\epsilon\ll 1$.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geqslant 2$, $1 \leqslant q, p \leqslant \infty$ satisfy (1.3). Then*

$$\|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C_{p,q,n,S}\|(1+|\Omega|)^s f\|_{L^p(S;\mathrm{d}\sigma)} \tag{1.5}$$

holds for all Schwartz functions f, where $s = s(q,n) = \sigma\alpha$ and $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$ satisfying

$$\sigma = (n-1)\Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q_0}\Big), \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{q_0} + \frac{1-\alpha}{q_1}.$$

Here $q_0 = (2(n+1)/n)_+$ and $q_1 = q(n)_+$

$$q(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{2(4n+7)}{4n+1}, & n \equiv 2 \quad (mod\ 3); \\ \frac{2n+3}{n}, & n \equiv 0 \quad (mod\ 3); \\ \frac{4(n+2)}{2n+1}, & n \equiv 1 \quad (mod\ 3). \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

Remark 1.1. *The $q(n)$ is the best low bound of q for the restriction problem in Bourgain-Guth [2]. Theorem 1.1 establishes the linear adjoint restriction estimates when $q \in (2(n+1)/n, q(n))$ with some loss of angular derivatives. In particular if $q = q_0$ is close to the conjecture exponent $2(n+1)/n$, the loss of angular derivative is $\sigma = (n-1)(1/2 - 1/q_0)$. This implies that $s < (n-1)(1/2-1/q)$ for $q \in (2(n+1)/n, q(n))$.*

As an application of the modified restriction estimate, we show a result on the local smoothing estimate for Schödinger equation for the initial data with additional angular regularity by Rogers's argument in [15]. We here extend [15, Theorem 1] from $q > 2(n+3)/(n+1)$ to $q > 2(n+1)/n$ if the initial data has additional angular regularity.

More precisely, we have the local smoothing

Corollary 1.1. *Let $n \geqslant 2$, $q > 2(n+1)/n$ and s be as in Theorem 1.1. Then*

$$\|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L^q_{t,x}([0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C\big\|(1+|\Omega|)^s u_0\big\|_{W^{\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\alpha > 2n(1/2-1/q)-2/q$ and $W^{\alpha,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Sobolev space.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce some notations and present some basic facts about spherical harmonics and Bessel functions. Furthermore, we use the stationary phase argument to prove some properties of the Bessel functions. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we prove the key Proposition 3.1. We prove Corollary 1.1 in the final section.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to express their great gratitude to S. Shao for his helpful discussions and bringing them this problem. C. Miao was supported by the NSFC under grant No.11171033 and 11231006. J. Zhang was supported by the Beijing Natural Science Foundation(1144014) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (11401024). J. Zheng was partly supported by the European Research Council, ERC-2012-ADG, project number 320845: Semi-Classical Analysis of Partial Differential Equations.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Notations.** We use $A \lesssim B$ to denote the statement that $A \leqslant CB$ for some large constant C which may vary from line to line and depend on various parameters, and similarly employ $A \sim B$ to denote the statement that $A \lesssim B \lesssim A$. We also use $A \ll B$ to denote the statement $A \leqslant C^{-1}B$. If the constant C depends on a special parameter other than the above, we shall denote it explicitly by subscripts. For instance, C_ϵ should be understood as a positive constant not only depending on p, q, n and S, but also on ϵ. Throughout this paper, pairs of conjugate indices are written as p, p', where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p'}=1$ with $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. Let $R > 0$ be a dyadic number, we define the dyadic annulus in $\mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$A_R := \big\{\, x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ \ R/2 \leqslant |x| \leqslant R \,\big\}, \quad S_R := [R/2, R].$$

We denote by $\mathbb{L}_M$ the class of Schwartz functions supported on a dyadic subset of the paraboloid in the form of

$$\big\{(\tau,\xi) : M \leqslant |\xi| \leqslant 2M, \tau = |\xi|^2\big\}, \quad \text{with} \quad M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{2.1}$$

2.2. **Spherical harmonics expansions and Bessel function.** We begin by recalling the expansion formula with respect to the spherical harmonics. Let

$$\xi = \rho\omega \quad \text{and} \quad x = r\theta \quad \text{with} \quad \omega, \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

For any $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the expansion formula gives

$$g(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} a_{k,\ell}(\rho) Y_{k,\ell}(\omega),$$

where

$$\big\{Y_{k,1}, \ldots, Y_{k,d(k)}\big\}$$

is the orthogonal basis of the spherical harmonics space of degree k on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. This space is called $\mathcal{H}^k$ whose dimension

$$d(k) = \frac{2k+n-2}{k} C_{n+k-3}^{k-1} \simeq \langle k\rangle^{n-2}.$$

It is clear that we have the orthogonal decomposition of $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$

$$L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^k.$$

It follows easily that

$$\|g(\xi)\|_{L^2_\omega} = \|a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\|_{\ell^2_{k,\ell}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Using the spherical harmonics expansion, as well as [14, 24], we can define the action of $(1-\Delta_\omega)^{s/2}$ on g as follows

$$(1-\Delta_\omega)^{s/2} g = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} (1+k(k+n-2))^{s/2} a_{k,\ell}(\rho) Y_{k,\ell}(\omega).$$

Given $s, s' \geqslant 0$ and $p, q \geqslant 1$, define

$$\|g\|_{H^{s,q}_\rho H^{s',p}_\omega} := \big\|(1-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}\big((1-\Delta_\omega)^{\frac{s'}{2}} g\big)\big\|_{L^q_{\mu(\rho)}(\mathbb{R}^+; L^p_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))},$$

where $\mu(\rho) = \rho^{n-1} d\rho$.

For our purpose, we need the inverse Fourier transform of $a_{k,\ell}(\rho)Y_{k,\ell}(\omega)$. The following Hankel transforms formula is due to [22, Theorem 3.10]

$$\check{g}(r\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} 2\pi i^k Y_{k,\ell}(\theta) r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_0^\infty J_{\nu(k)}(2\pi r\rho) a_{k,\ell}(\rho) \rho^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathrm{d}\rho. \tag{2.4}$$

Here $\nu(k) = k + \frac{n-2}{2}$ and the Bessel function $J_\nu(r)$ of order ν is defined by

$$J_\nu(r) = \frac{(r/2)^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(1/2)} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{isr} (1-s^2)^{(2\nu-1)/2} \mathrm{d}s,$$

where $\nu > -1/2$ and $r > 0$. It is easy to verify that for absolute constant C

$$|J_\nu(r)| \leqslant \frac{C r^\nu}{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(1/2)} \Big(1 + \frac{1}{\nu+1/2}\Big). \tag{2.5}$$

To investigate the behavior of asymptotic bound on ν and r, we are devoted to Schläfli's integral representation [33] of the Bessel function: for $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\nu > -\frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} J_\nu(r) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ir\sin\theta - i\nu\theta} \mathrm{d}\theta - \frac{\sin(\nu\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-(r\sinh s + \nu s)} \mathrm{d}s \\ &=: \tilde{J}_\nu(r) - E_\nu(r). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Clearly, $E_\nu(r) = 0$ when $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. An easy computation shows that

$$|E_\nu(r)| = \Big|\frac{\sin(\nu\pi)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-(r\sinh s + \nu s)} \mathrm{d}s\Big| \leqslant C(r+\nu)^{-1}. \tag{2.7}$$

There is a number of literatures for the asymptotical behavior of Bessel function, we refer the readers to [19, 21, 33]. We reprove some properties of Bessel function for convenience.

Lemma 2.1 (Asymptotic of the Bessel functions)**.** *Let $\nu \gg 1$ and let $J_\nu(r)$ be the Bessel function of order ν defined as above. Then there exists a large constant C and small constant c independent of ν and r such that:*

- *When $r \leqslant \frac{\nu}{2}$, we have*

$$|J_\nu(r)| \leqslant Ce^{-c(\nu+r)}; \tag{2.8}$$

- *When $\frac{\nu}{2} \leqslant r \leqslant 2\nu$, we have*

$$|J_\nu(r)| \leqslant C\nu^{-\frac{1}{3}}(\nu^{-\frac{1}{3}}|r-\nu|+1)^{-\frac{1}{4}}; \tag{2.9}$$

- *When $r \geqslant 2\nu$, we have*

$$J_\nu(r) = r^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{\pm} a_\pm(\nu,r)e^{\pm ir} + E(\nu,r), \tag{2.10}$$

where $|a_\pm(\nu,r)| \leqslant C$ and $|E(\nu,r)| \leqslant Cr^{-1}$.

Proof. We first prove (2.8). By the definition of $J_\nu(r)$, a simple computation gives that for $\nu \geqslant 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ satisfying

$$J_\nu(r) \leqslant \frac{C(r/2)^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu+\frac{1}{2})\sqrt{\pi}}.$$

The well known Stirling's formula

$$\lim_{x\to+\infty}\frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{(\frac{x}{e})^x\sqrt{2\pi x}} = 1,$$

gives us that for $\nu \gg 1$

$$\Gamma(\nu+\frac{1}{2}) \cong \Big(\frac{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}{e}\Big)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{2\pi(\nu-\frac{1}{2})} \cong \Big(\frac{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}{e}\Big)^{\nu}.$$

Hence we obtain that for $r \leqslant \frac{\nu}{2}$,

$$J_\nu(r) \leqslant C\Big(\frac{e}{4}\Big)^\nu \leqslant Ce^{-\tilde{c}\nu} \leqslant Ce^{-c(\nu+r)}.$$

We next prove (2.9). By (2.6) and (2.7), it suffices to consider $\tilde{J}_\nu(r)$. We argue this by using the stationary phase argument for two cases $r > \nu$ and $r \leqslant \nu$. Let

$$\phi_{r,\nu}(\theta) = r\sin\theta - \nu\theta.$$

Case 1: $\nu < r$**.** We find the critical point θ_0 by solving

$$\phi'_{r,\nu}(\theta) = r\cos\theta - \nu = 0.$$

Then $\theta_0 = \arccos(\nu/r)$. Now we split $\tilde{J}_\nu(r)$ into two pieces by breaking the integral into a small neighborhood around the critical point θ_0 and the error term,

$$\tilde{J}_\nu(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\Omega_\delta} e^{ir\sin\theta - i\nu\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{B_\delta} e^{ir\sin\theta-i\nu\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta,$$

where

$$\Omega_\delta = \{\theta : |\theta \pm \theta_0| \leqslant \delta\}, \quad B_\delta = [-\pi, \pi] \setminus \Omega_\delta,$$

and $\delta > 0$ to be chosen later. It is easily seen that

$$\Big| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Omega_\delta} e^{ir\sin\theta - i\nu\theta} \mathrm{d}\theta \Big| \leqslant C\delta. \tag{2.11}$$

Integrating by parts, we have

$$\int_{B_\delta} e^{ir\sin\theta - i\nu\theta} \mathrm{d}\theta = \frac{e^{i(r\sin\theta - \nu\theta)}}{i(r\cos\theta - \nu)}\Big|_{\partial B_\delta} + \int_{B_\delta} \frac{e^{ir\sin\theta - i\nu\theta} r\sin\theta}{i(r\cos\theta - \nu)^2} \mathrm{d}\theta,$$

where $\partial B_\delta = \{\pm\pi, \pm\theta_0 \pm \delta\}$. It is easy to check that all the terms are controlled by $c|r\cos(\theta_0 \pm \delta) - \nu|^{-1}$. We shall choose suitable δ to balance the contribution of Ω_δ and B_δ such that

$$\delta \sim |r\cos(\theta_0 \pm \delta) - \nu|^{-1}.$$

Noting that

$$\cos(\theta_0 \pm \delta) = \cos\theta_0 \cos\delta \mp \sin\theta_0 \sin\delta,$$

and the definition of θ_0, we obtain

$$r\cos(\theta_0 \pm \delta) - \nu = \nu\cos\delta \pm \sqrt{r^2 - \nu^2} \sin\delta - \nu.$$

Since $1 - \cos\delta = 2\sin^2\frac{\delta}{2}$, then for small δ

$$|r\cos(\theta_0 \pm \delta) - \nu| \sim |\nu\delta^2 \pm \delta\sqrt{r^2 - \nu^2}|.$$

When $|r - \nu| \leqslant \nu^{\frac{1}{3}}$, choosing $\delta = C\nu^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ with $C \geqslant 2$, we have

$$|r\cos(\theta_0 \pm \delta) - \nu|^{-1} \lesssim \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}} (C^2 - C\nu^{-\frac{2}{3}} \sqrt{r^2 - \nu^2})^{-1} \lesssim \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}}. \tag{2.12}$$

When $|r - \nu| \geqslant \nu^{\frac{1}{3}}$, we optimally choose $\delta = c(r^2 - \nu^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ with small $c > 0$. Since

$$r \leqslant 2\nu, \ (r^2 - \nu^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \leqslant (3\nu)^{-\frac{1}{4}} |r - \nu|^{-\frac{1}{4}},$$

we have

$$|r\cos(\theta_0 \pm \delta) - \nu|^{-1} \lesssim (r^2 - \nu^2)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \big(c - c^2\nu(r^2 - \nu^2)^{-\frac{3}{4}}\big)^{-1} \lesssim \nu^{-\frac{1}{4}} |r - \nu|^{-\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{2.13}$$

Case 2: $r \leqslant \nu$**.** When $\nu - \nu^{\frac{1}{3}} < r < \nu$, choosing $\theta_0 = 0$ and $\delta = \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}}$, similar argument as before gives $|\tilde{J}_\nu(r)| \lesssim \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}}$. When $r < \nu - \nu^{\frac{1}{3}}$, there is no critical point. Hence we obtain

$$|\tilde{J}_\nu(r)| \lesssim |r - \nu|^{-1} \lesssim \nu^{-\frac{1}{4}} |r - \nu|^{-1/4}.$$

Finally, we collect all the estimates to obtain

$$|\tilde{J}_\nu(r)| \lesssim \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}} (1 + \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}} |r - \nu|)^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Now we prove (2.10). Again by (2.6) and (2.7), it suffices to prove

$$\tilde{J}_\nu(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ir\sin\theta - i\nu\theta} \mathrm{d}\theta = r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\pm} a_\pm(\nu, r) e^{\pm ir} + \tilde{E}(\nu, r),$$

where $|a_\pm(\nu,r)|\leqslant C$ and $|\tilde{E}(\nu,r)|\leqslant Cr^{-1}$. By the symmetry, it suffices to show

$$\int_0^\pi e^{ir\sin\theta-i\nu\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta=r^{-\frac12}a(\nu,r)e^{-ir}+\tilde{E}(\nu,r). \tag{2.14}$$

To this end, we break this integral into two parts

$$\int_0^\pi e^{ir\sin\theta-i\nu\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta=\int_{[0,\pi/4]\cup[3\pi/4,\pi]}e^{ir\sin\theta-i\nu\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta+\int_{\pi/4}^{3\pi/4}e^{ir\sin\theta-i\nu\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta$$

When $\theta\in[0,\pi/4]\cup[3\pi/4,\pi]$ and $2\nu\leqslant r$, we have

$$|\phi'(\theta)|=|r\cos\theta-\nu|\geqslant\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{2}r.$$

Integrating by parts gives

$$\Big|\int_{[0,\pi/4]\cup[3\pi/4,\pi]}e^{ir\sin\theta-i\nu\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta\Big|\leqslant Cr^{-1}.$$

Let $\theta_0=\arccos\frac{\nu}{r}$. Since

$$|\phi''(\theta)|=|r\sin\theta|\geqslant\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}r,\ \text{when}\ \ \theta\in[\pi/4,3\pi/4],$$

θ_0 is a non-degenerated critical point. By the stationary phase argument, we have

$$\int_0^\pi e^{ir\sin\theta-i\nu\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta=a(\nu,r)r^{-\frac12}e^{-ir}+O(r^{-1}),$$

where $|a(\nu,r)|\leqslant C$ independent of r,ν. This completes the proof of (2.10).

□

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Since Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of the Stein-Tomas inequality [21] for the case $p\leqslant 2$, , it suffices to prove Theorem 1.1 for the case $p\geqslant 2$. More precisely, we will only establish for $q>2(n+1)/n$, $(n+2)/q=n/p'$ with $p\geqslant 2$

$$\|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\leqslant C_{p,q,n,S}\|(1+|\Omega|)^s f\|_{L^p(S;\mathrm{d}\sigma)}. \tag{3.1}$$

We decompose f into a sum of dyadic supported functions

$$f=\sum_M f_M,$$

where $f_M=f\chi_{\{(\tau,\xi):\tau=|\xi|^2,M\leqslant|\xi|\leqslant 2M\}}\in\mathbb{L}_M$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)}=&\Big\|\sum_M(f_M\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\Big\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ =&\Big(\sum_R\Big\|\sum_M(f_M\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\Big\|^q_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\Big)^{\frac1q}\\ \lesssim&\Big(\sum_R\Big(\sum_M\|(f_M\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\Big)^q\Big)^{\frac1q}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

To prove (3.1), we need the localized linear restriction estimates.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume* $f \in \mathbb{L}_1$ *and* $R > 0$ *is a dyadic number. Then the following linear restriction estimates hold:*

- *Let* $q = 2$*, then*

$$\|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^{\vee}\|_{L^2_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)} \lesssim \min\left\{R^{\frac{1}{2}}, R^{\frac{n}{2}}\right\}\|f\|_{L^2(S;\mathrm{d}\sigma)}. \tag{3.3}$$

- *Let* $q = 3p'$ *with* $2 \leqslant p \leqslant 4$ *and* $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$*, then*

$$\|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^{\vee}\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)} \lesssim \min\left\{R^{(n-1)(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})+\epsilon}, R^{\frac{n}{q}}\right\}\left\|\left(1+|\Omega|\right)^{(n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})}f\right\|_{L^p(S;\mathrm{d}\sigma)}. \tag{3.4}$$

We postpone the proof of Proposition 3.1 to next section, and complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 by this proposition. By scaling argument, we conclude from (3.3) that

$$\|(f_M\mathrm{d}\sigma)^{\vee}\|_{L^2_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)} \lesssim \min\left\{(RM)^{\frac{1}{2}}, (RM)^{\frac{n}{2}}\right\}M^{n-\frac{n+2}{2}-\frac{n}{2}}\|f_M\|_{L^2(S;\mathrm{d}\sigma)}.$$

From (3.4), we have that for $\bar{q} = 3\bar{p}'$ with $2 \leqslant \bar{p} \leqslant 4$

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(f_M\mathrm{d}\sigma)^{\vee}\|_{L^{\bar{q}}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\\ &\lesssim \min\left\{(RM)^{(n-1)(\frac{1}{\bar{q}}-\frac{1}{2})+\bar{\epsilon}}, (RM)^{\frac{n}{\bar{q}}}\right\}M^{n-\frac{n+2}{\bar{q}}-\frac{n}{\bar{p}}}\left\|\left(1+|\Omega|\right)^{(n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\bar{q}})}f_M\right\|_{L^{\bar{p}}(S;\mathrm{d}\sigma)},\end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \bar{\epsilon} \ll 1$. For any (q, p) satisfying

$$q > 2(n+1)/n, \ \ (n+2)/q = n/p' \quad \text{with} \quad p \geqslant 2,$$

let $\alpha = 2 - \frac{3}{q} - \frac{1}{p}$, then we have by $\bar{q} = 3\bar{p}'$

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\alpha}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{\bar{q}}, \qquad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\alpha}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{\bar{p}}.$$

Therefore we obtain by interpolation theorem

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(f_M\mathrm{d}\sigma)^{\vee}\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\\ &\lesssim \min\{(RM)^{\frac{n}{q}}, (RM)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}[1-\frac{2(n+1)}{qn}]+\epsilon}\}\left\|\left(1+|\Omega|\right)^{(n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})}f_M\right\|_{L^p(S;\mathrm{d}\sigma)}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Here $0 < \epsilon := \bar{\epsilon}\alpha \ll 1$. According to (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^{\vee}\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_R\left(\sum_M \min\left\{(RM)^{\frac{n}{q}}, (RM)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}[1-\frac{2(n+1)}{qn}]+\epsilon}\right\}\|\left(1+|\Omega|\right)^{(n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})}f_M\|_{L^p(S;\mathrm{d}\sigma)}\right)^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $q > 2(n+1)/n$, $\epsilon \ll 1$, and R, M are both dyadic number, we have

$$\sup_{R>0}\left(\sum_M \min\left\{(RM)^{\frac{n}{q}}, (RM)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}[1-\frac{2(n+1)}{qn}]+\epsilon}\right\}\right) < \infty,$$

$$\sup_{M>0}\left(\sum_R \min\left\{(RM)^{\frac{n}{q}}, (RM)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}[1-\frac{2(n+1)}{qn}]+\epsilon}\right\}\right) < \infty.$$

Note that $q > 2(n+1)/n > p \geqslant 2$, we have by the Schur lemma and imbedding inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\|(fd\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \Big(\sum_M \|(1+|\Omega|)^{(n-1)(\frac12-\frac1q)} f_M\|^p_{L^p(S;d\sigma)}\Big)^{\frac1p}\\ &= \Big\|(1+|\Omega|)^{(n-1)(\frac12-\frac1q)} f\Big\|_{L^p(S;d\sigma)}.\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $q = q_0 = (2(n+1)/n)_+$ and $(n+2)/q_0 = n/p_0'$, we have

$$\|(fd\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^{q_0}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \big\|(1+|\Omega|)^\sigma f\big\|_{L^{p_0}(S;d\sigma)}.$$

Interpolating this inequality with the restriction estimate in Bourgain-Guth [2], we prove (3.1).

4. Localized restriction estimate

In this section we prove Proposition 3.1. We start our proof by recalling

$$(f(\tau,\xi)d\sigma)^\vee(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(\xi)e^{2\pi i(x\cdot\xi+t|\xi|^2)}d\xi, \tag{4.1}$$

where $g(\xi) = f(|\xi|^2,\xi) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with supp $g \subset \{\xi : |\xi| \in [1,2]\}$. We apply the spherical harmonics expansion to g to obtain

$$g(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} a_{k,\ell}(\rho)Y_{k,\ell}(\omega).$$

Recalling $\nu(k) = k + (n-2)/2$, we have by (2.4)

$$(fd\sigma)^\vee(t,x) = 2\pi r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} i^k Y_{k,\ell}(\theta) \int_0^\infty e^{-2\pi it\rho^2} J_{\nu(k)}(2\pi r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac n2}\varphi(\rho)d\rho. \tag{4.2}$$

Here we insert a harmless smooth bump function φ supported on the interval $(1/2,4)$ into the above integral, since $a_{k,\ell}(\rho)$ is supported on $[1,2]$. Now we estimate $\|(fd\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}$. To this end, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mu(r) = r^{n-1}dr$ and $\omega(k)$ is a weight, we have for $q \geqslant 2$*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} \omega(k)\Big|\int_0^\infty e^{it\rho^2} J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\rho d\rho\Big|^2\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^q_t(\mathbb{R};L^q_{\mu(r)}(S_R))}\\ \lesssim &\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} \omega(k)\big\|J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n-2}{2}+\frac{1}{q'}}\big\|^2_{L^{q'}_\rho}\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^q_{\mu(r)}(S_R)}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Since $q \geqslant 2$, the Minkowski inequality and Fubini's theorem show that the left hand side of (4.3) is bounded by

$$\Big\| r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} \omega(k) \Big\| \int_0^\infty e^{it\rho^2} J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho) a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\rho \,\mathrm{d}\rho \Big\|^2_{L^q_t(\mathbb{R})}\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^q_{\mu(r)}(S_R)}.$$

We rewrite this by making the variable change $\rho^2 \rightsquigarrow \rho$

$$\Big\| r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} \omega(k) \Big\| \int_0^\infty e^{it\rho} J_{\nu(k)}(r\sqrt{\rho}) a_{k,\ell}(\sqrt{\rho})\varphi(\sqrt{\rho})\rho^{\frac{n-2}{4}} \,\mathrm{d}\rho \Big\|^2_{L^q_t(\mathbb{R})}\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^q_{\mu(r)}(S_R)}. \tag{4.4}$$

We use the Hausdorff-Young inequality in t and change variables back to obtain

$$\text{LHS of } (4.3) \lesssim \Big\| r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} \omega(k) \big\| J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho) a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\rho^{(n-2)/2+1/q'} \big\|^2_{L^{q'}_\rho}\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^q_{\mu(r)}(S_R)}.$$

□

Now we prove (3.3) and (3.4) hold for $R \lesssim 1$. To do this, we first prove

Lemma 4.2. *Let $q \geqslant 2$ and $R \lesssim 1$, we have the following estimate*

$$\|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)} \lesssim R^{\frac{n}{q}} \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} \omega(k) \big\| a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\big\|^2_{L^{q'}_\rho}\Big)^{\frac12}, \tag{4.5}$$

where $\omega(k) = (1+k)^{2(n-1)(1/2-1/q)}$.

We postpone the proof for a moment. Note that $q' \leqslant 2 \leqslant p$, we use (4.5), Minkowski's inequality and Hölder's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)} \lesssim & R^{\frac{n}{q}} \Big\| \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} \omega(k) \big| a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\big|^2\Big)^{\frac12} \varphi(\rho) \Big\|_{L^{q'}_\rho} \\ \lesssim & R^{\frac{n}{q}} \, \|g\|_{L^q_\rho H^m_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \lesssim R^{\frac{n}{q}} \, \|g\|_{L^p_\rho H^{m,p}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $m = (n-1)(\frac12 - \frac1q)$. In particular $q = 2$ and $4 \leqslant q \leqslant 6$, this proves (3.3) and (3.4) when $R \lesssim 1$. Hence it suffices to consider the case $R \gg 1$ once we prove Lemma 4.2.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. By scaling argument in variables t, x and (4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)} \\ \lesssim & \Big\| r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} i^k Y_{k,\ell}(\theta) \int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2} J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho) a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\,\mathrm{d}\rho \Big\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

By Sobolev embedding and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\\ \lesssim&\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}\omega(k)\Big|\int_0^\infty e^{it\rho^2}J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\rho\mathrm{d}\rho\Big|^2\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^q_t(\mathbb{R};L^q_{\mu(r)}(S_R))}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1, it is enough to show

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}\omega(k)\big\|J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\rho^{(n-2)/2+1/q'}\big\|^2_{L^{q'}_\rho}\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^q_{\mu(r)}(S_R)}\\ \lesssim&R^{\frac{n}{q}}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}\omega(k)\big\|a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\big\|^2_{L^{q'}_\rho}\Big)^{\frac12}.\end{aligned}$$

Writing briefly $\nu=\nu(k)$, and noting that $R<r<2R$ and $1<\rho<2$, we have by (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}\omega(k)\big\|J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\rho^{(n-2)/2+1/q'}\big\|^2_{L^{q'}_\rho}\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^q_{\mu(r)}([R,2R])}\\ \lesssim&\Big(\int_R^{2R}r^{-\frac{(n-2)q}{2}}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}\omega(k)\Big|\frac{(4r)^\nu}{2^\nu\Gamma(\nu+\frac12)\Gamma(\frac12)}\Big|^2\big\|a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^\nu\varphi(\rho)\big\|^2_{L^{q'}_\rho}\Big)^{\frac{q}{2}}r^{n-1}\mathrm{d}r\Big)^{\frac1q}\\ \lesssim&R^{\frac{n}{q}}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}\omega(k)\Big[\frac{(2R)^{\nu-\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\Gamma(\nu+\frac12)}\Big]^2\big\|a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^\nu\varphi(\rho)\big\|^2_{L^{q'}_\rho}\Big)^{\frac12}\\ \lesssim&R^{\frac{n}{q}}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}\omega(k)\big\|a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\big\|^2_{L^{q'}_\rho}\Big)^{\frac12}.\end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality, we use the Stirling's formula $\Gamma(\nu+1)\sim\sqrt{\nu}(\nu/e)^\nu$ and the facts that $R\lesssim 1$ and $\nu\geqslant(n-2)/2$. □

Now we are in the position to prove Proposition 3.1 when $R\gg 1$. We first prove (3.3) by making use of (4.1). Since supp $g\subset\{\xi:|\xi|\in[1,2]\}$, we may assume $|\xi_n|\sim 1$. Then we freeze one spatial variable, say x_n, with $|x_n|\lesssim R$ and free other spatial variables $x'=(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})$. After making the change of variables $\eta_j=\xi_j,\ \eta_n=|\xi|^2$ with $j=1,\ldots n-1$, we use the Plancherel theorem on the spacetime Fourier transform in (t,x') to obtain (3.3).

When $R\gg 1$, (3.4) is a consequence of the interpolation theorem and the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume $f\in\mathbb{L}_1$ and $R\gg 1$ is a dyadic number. For any small constant $0<\epsilon\ll 1$, we have that*

- *For $q=4$, we have*

$$\|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^4_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\lesssim R^{-\frac{n-1}{4}+\epsilon}\|(1+|\Omega|)^{\frac{n-1}{4}}f\|_{L^4(S;\mathrm{d}\sigma)}. \tag{4.7}$$

- *For $q=6$, we have*

$$\|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^6_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\lesssim R^{-\frac{n-1}{3}+\epsilon}\|\big(1+|\Omega|\big)^{\frac{n-1}{3}}f\|_{L^2(S;\mathrm{d}\sigma)}. \tag{4.8}$$

Remark 4.1. *It seems possible to remove the ϵ-loss in* (4.8)*, but we do not purchase this option here since it is enough for our purpose in this paper.*

To prove this proposition, we firstly show

Lemma 4.3. *Assume $f\in\mathbb{L}_1$ and let $R\gg 1$, we have the following estimate*

$$\|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^4_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\lesssim R^{-\frac{n-1}{4}+\epsilon}\|g\|_{L^4_\rho H^{(n-1)/4,4}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}, \tag{4.9}$$

where $0<\epsilon\ll 1$, and $g(\xi)=f(|\xi|^2,\xi)$.

Proof. By the scaling argument and (4.2), it suffices to estimate

$$\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}i^kY_{k,\ell}(\theta)\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big\|_{L^4_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}. \tag{4.10}$$

We divide three cases to estimate it. For the first two cases, we establish the estimates for general $q\geqslant 2$ so that we can use them directly for $q=6$ later.

- Case 1: $k\in\Omega_1:=\{k:R\ll\nu(k)\}$. Let $\omega(k)=(1+k)^{2(n-1)(1/2-1/q)}$ again, we have by the similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.2

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\sum_{k\in\Omega_1}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}i^kY_{k,\ell}(\theta)\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\\
\lesssim&\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_1}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}\omega(k)\Big|\int_0^\infty e^{it\rho^2}J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\rho\mathrm{d}\rho\Big|^2\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^q_t(\mathbb{R};L^q_{\mu(r)}(S_R))}\\
\lesssim&\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_1}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}\omega(k)\big\|J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\rho^{(n-2)/2+q'}\big\|^2_{L^{q'}_\rho}\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^q_{\mu(r)}(S_R)}.\end{aligned}$$

Recall $R\gg1$ and $k\in\Omega_1$, we have $|J_{\nu(k)}(r)|\lesssim e^{-c(r+\nu)}$ by (2.8). Using that $R<r<2R$ and $1<\rho<2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_1}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}\omega(k)\big\|J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\rho^{(n-2)/2+1/q'}\big\|^2_{L^{q'}_\rho}\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\|_{L^q_{\mu(r)}([R,2R])}\\
\lesssim&\Big(\int_R^{2R}r^{-\frac{(n-2)q}{2}}\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_1}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}\omega(k)e^{-(r+\nu)}\big\|a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^\nu\varphi(\rho)\big\|^2_{L^{q'}_\rho}\Big)^{\frac{q}{2}}r^{n-1}\mathrm{d}r\Big)^{\frac1q}\\
\lesssim&e^{-cR}\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_1}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}\omega(k)e^{-\nu(k)}\big\|a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^\nu\varphi(\rho)\big\|^2_{L^{q'}_\rho}\Big)^{\frac12}\\
\lesssim&e^{-cR}\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_1}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}\omega(k)\big\|a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\big\|^2_{L^{q'}_\rho}\Big)^{\frac12}.\end{aligned}$$

By Minkowski's inequality and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\sum_{k\in\Omega_1}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} i^k Y_{k,\ell}(\theta)\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\\ \lesssim& e^{-cR}\Big\|\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}\omega(k)\big|a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\big|^2\Big)^{\frac12}\varphi(\rho)\Big\|_{L^p_\rho}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.11}$$

Applying this with $q=4=p$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\sum_{k\in\Omega_1}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} i^k Y_{k,\ell}(\theta)\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big\|_{L^4_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\\ \lesssim& e^{-cR}\Big\|\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{(n-1)/2}\big|a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\big|^2\Big)^{\frac12}\varphi(\rho)\Big\|_{L^4_\rho}\\ \lesssim& R^{-\frac{n-1}{4}+\epsilon}\|g\|_{L^4_\rho H^{(n-1)/4,4}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}.\end{aligned}$$

- Case 2: $k\in\Omega_2:=\{k:\nu(k)\sim R\}$. Recalling $g(\xi)=f(|\xi|^2,\xi)$, and using the Sobolev embedding, Strichartz estimate and the fact supp$g\subset[1,2]$, we have

$$\|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim\|\hat{g}\|_{H^m(\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\tag{4.12}$$

where $m=\frac{(q-2)n-4}{2q}$. If $g=\bigoplus_{k\in\Omega_2}\mathcal{H}^k$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\|g\|^2_{L^2_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}=&\sum_{k\in\Omega_2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}|a_{k,\ell}|^2\\ \lesssim& R^{-2(n-1)(1/2-1/q)}\sum_{k\in\Omega_2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{2(n-1)(1/2-1/q)}|a_{k,\ell}|^2\\ \lesssim& R^{-2(n-1)(1/2-1/q)}\|g\|^2_{H^{(n-1)(\frac12-\frac1q),2}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

Since supp$g\subset[1,2]$ and $p\geqslant 2$, we have by Hölder's inequality and (4.12)

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\sum_{k\in\Omega_2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} i^k Y_{k,\ell}(\theta)\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big\|_{L^q_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\\ \lesssim& R^{-(n-1)(1/2-1/q)}\|g\|_{L^p_\rho H^{(n-1)(\frac12-\frac1q),p}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

In particular, when $q=p=4$, (4.14) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\sum_{k\in\Omega_2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} i^k Y_{k,\ell}(\theta)\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big\|_{L^4_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\\ \lesssim& R^{-(n-1)/4}\|g\|_{L^4_\rho H^{(n-1)/4,4}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

• Case 3: $k \in \Omega_3 := \{k : \nu(k) \ll R\}$. We need the following lemma about the oscillation and decay property of Bessel function. This lemma was proved in Barcelo-Cordoba [3].

Lemma 4.4 (Oscillation and asymptotic property, [3])**.** *Let $\nu > 1/2$ and $r > \nu + \nu^{1/3}$. There exists a constant number C independent of r and ν such that*

$$J_\nu(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\frac{\cos\theta(r)}{(r^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}} + h_\nu(r), \tag{4.16}$$

where $\theta(r) = (r^2-\nu^2)^{1/2} - \nu\arccos\frac{\nu}{r} - \frac{\pi}{4}$ and

$$|h_\nu(r)| \leqslant C\left(\left(\frac{\nu^2}{(r^2-\nu^2)^{7/4}} + \frac{1}{r}\right)1_{[\nu+\nu^{1/3},2\nu]}(r) + \frac{1}{r}1_{[2\nu,\infty)}(r)\right). \tag{4.17}$$

Note that $\nu(k) = k + (n-2)/2$ and $k \in \Omega_3$, we can write

$$J_\nu(r) = I_\nu(r) + \bar{I}_\nu(r) + h_\nu(r), \quad \text{where } |h_\nu(r)| \lesssim r^{-1},$$

and

$$I_\nu(r) = \frac{\sqrt{2/\pi}e^{i\theta(r)}}{(r^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}}.$$

A simple computation yields to

$$\begin{cases} \theta'(r) = (r^2-\nu^2)^{1/2}r^{-1}, \\ \theta''(r) = (r^2-\nu^2)^{-1/2} - (r^2-\nu^2)^{1/2}r^{-2} = (r^2-\nu^2)^{-1/2}\nu^2 r^{-2}, \\ \theta'''(r) = \dfrac{\nu^2}{r}(r^2-\nu^2)^{-3/2}\nu^2 r^{-2}\left(-3 + \dfrac{2\nu^2}{r^2}\right). \end{cases} \tag{4.18}$$

Using Sobolev imbedding on sphere and Minkowski's inequality, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} i^k Y_{k,\ell}(\theta)\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\right\|_{L^4_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)} \\ \lesssim & R^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\left\|\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{(n-1)/2}\Big|\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big|^2\Big)^{1/2}\right\|_{L^4_t(\mathbb{R};L^4_{\mu(r)}(S_R))} \\ \lesssim & R^{-\frac{n-3}{4}}\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{(n-1)/2}\Big\|\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big\|^2_{L^4_t(\mathbb{R};L^4_r(S_R))}\Big)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

Since $J_\nu(r) = I_\nu(r) + \bar{I}_\nu(r) + h_\nu(r)$, it suffices to estimate two terms

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{(n-1)/2}\Big\|\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}h_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big\|^2_{L^4_t(\mathbb{R};L^4_r(S_R))}\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim R^{-3/4}\|g\|_{L^4_\rho H^{\frac{n-1}{4},4}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{(n-1)/2}\Big\|\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}I_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big\|^2_{L^4_t(\mathbb{R};L^4_r(S_R))}\Big)^{1/2}$$
$$\lesssim R^{-1/2+\epsilon}\|g\|_{L^4_\rho H^{\frac{n-1}{4},4}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}.$$

For the first purpose, we consider the operator

$$T_\nu(a)(t,r)=\chi\Big(\frac{r}{R}\Big)\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}h_\nu(r\rho)a(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)d\rho$$

where $|h_\nu(r)|\leqslant C/r$. By the similar argument in the proof of Lemma 4.1, it is easy to see

$$\|T_\nu(a)(t,r)\|_{L^q_{t,r}}\leqslant R^{-1/q'}\|a\varphi\|_{L^{q'}_\rho}. \tag{4.19}$$

Hence we have

$$\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{(n-1)/2}\Big\|\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}h_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big\|^2_{L^4_t(\mathbb{R};L^4_r(S_R))}\Big)^{1/2}$$
$$\lesssim R^{-3/4}\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{(n-1)/2}\Big\|a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\Big\|^2_{L^{4/3}}\Big)^{1/2}$$
$$\lesssim R^{-3/4}\Big\|\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{(n-1)/2}|a_{k,\ell}(\rho)|^2\Big)^{1/2}\varphi\Big\|_{L^{4/3}}$$
$$\lesssim R^{-3/4}\|g\|_{L^4_\rho H^{\frac{n-1}{4},4}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}.$$

We next consider the operator

$$H_\nu(a)(t,r)=\chi\Big(\frac{r}{R}\Big)\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}I_\nu(r\rho)a(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)d\rho,$$

where $\nu=\nu(k)=k+(n-2)/2$ with $k\in\Omega_3$. We have the **claim** that for any $\epsilon>0$

$$\|H_\nu(a)(t,r)\|_{L^4_{t,r}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})}\lesssim R^{-1/2+\epsilon}\|a\varphi\|_{L^4_\rho}. \tag{4.20}$$

Once we prove **Claim** (4.20), we have

$$\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{(n-1)/2}\Big\|\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}I_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big\|^2_{L^4_t(\mathbb{R};L^4_r(S_R))}\Big)^{1/2}$$
$$\lesssim R^{-1/2+\epsilon}\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{(n-1)/2}\big\|a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\big\|^2_{L^4}\Big)^{1/2}$$
$$\lesssim R^{-1/2+\epsilon}\|g\|_{H^{\frac{n-1}{4},2}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})L^4_\rho}\lesssim R^{-1/2+\epsilon}\|g\|_{L^4_\rho H^{\frac{n-1}{4},4}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}.$$

Now we prove **Claim** (4.20). Consider the kernel

$$\begin{aligned}&K(R,\nu;\rho_1,\rho_2,\rho_3,\rho_4)\\ &=\int_0^\infty \frac{\chi^4(\frac{r}{R})e^{i(\theta(\rho_1 r)-\theta(\rho_2 r)+\theta(\rho_3 r)-\theta(\rho_4 r))}}{((r\rho_1)^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}((r\rho_2)^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}((r\rho_3)^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}((r\rho_4)^2-\nu^2)^{1/4}}dr\end{aligned}\tag{4.21}$$

where $\nu=\nu(k)=k+(n-2)/2$. It remains to estimate the integral

$$\begin{aligned}&\|H_\nu(a)(t,r)\|^4_{L^4_{t,r}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &=\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it(\rho_1^2-\rho_2^2+\rho_3^2-\rho_4^2)}K(R,\nu;\rho_1,\rho_2,\rho_3,\rho_4)a(\rho_1)a(\rho_2)a(\rho_3)a(\rho_4)\\ &\qquad\beta(\rho_1)\beta(\rho_2)\beta(\rho_3)\beta(\rho_4)dtd\rho_1d\rho_2d\rho_3d\rho_4,\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta(\rho)=\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)$. Before doing this, we analyze the kernel K. Let

$$\phi(r;\rho_1,\rho_2,\rho_3,\rho_4)=\theta(\rho_1 r)-\theta(\rho_2 r)+\theta(\rho_3 r)-\theta(\rho_4 r),$$

hence if $\rho_1^2-\rho_2^2=\rho_4^2-\rho_3^2$, we have by (4.18)

$$\begin{aligned}\phi'_r&=(\rho_1^2-\rho_2^2)r\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(r\rho_1)^2-\nu^2}+\sqrt{(r\rho_2)^2-\nu^2}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{(r\rho_3)^2-\nu^2}+\sqrt{(r\rho_4)^2-\nu^2}}\Big)\\ &=\frac{(\rho_1^2-\rho_2^2)(\rho_3^2-\rho_2^2)r^3}{\Big(\sqrt{(r\rho_1)^2-\nu^2}+\sqrt{(r\rho_2)^2-\nu^2}\Big)\Big(\sqrt{(r\rho_3)^2-\nu^2}+\sqrt{(r\rho_4)^2-\nu^2}\Big)}\\ &\qquad\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(r\rho_3)^2-\nu^2}+\sqrt{(r\rho_2)^2-\nu^2}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{(r\rho_1)^2-\nu^2}+\sqrt{(r\rho_4)^2-\nu^2}}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Since $k\in\Omega_3$, one has $r\gg\nu(k)$. Therefore we have

$$|\phi'_r|\geqslant|\rho_1^2-\rho_2^2|\cdot|\rho_3^2-\rho_2^2|.$$

Applying integral by parts with respect to r to (4.21), we have for any $N\geqslant 0$

$$K(R,\nu;\rho_1,\rho_2,\rho_3,\rho_4)\lesssim R^{-1}\left(1+R|\rho_1^2-\rho_2^2|\cdot|\rho_3^2-\rho_2^2|\right)^{-N}\tag{4.22}$$

when $\rho_1^2-\rho_2^2=\rho_4^2-\rho_3^2$. Note that $\beta(\rho)=\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)$, we next estimate the integral

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it(\rho_1^2-\rho_2^2+\rho_3^2-\rho_4^2)}K(R,\nu;\rho_1,\rho_2,\rho_3,\rho_4)a(\rho_1)a(\rho_2)a(\rho_3)a(\rho_4)\\ &\qquad\beta(\rho_1)\beta(\rho_2)\beta(\rho_3)\beta(\rho_4)dtd\rho_1d\rho_2d\rho_3d\rho_4.\end{aligned}$$

Let $b(\rho)=2a(\sqrt{\rho})\beta(\sqrt{\rho})/\sqrt{\rho}$, it suffices to establish

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^4}\delta(\rho_1-\rho_2+\rho_3-\rho_4)K(R,\nu;\sqrt{\rho_1},\sqrt{\rho_2},\sqrt{\rho_3},\sqrt{\rho_4})b(\rho_1)b(\rho_2)b(\rho_3)b(\rho_4)d\rho_1d\rho_2d\rho_3d\rho_4\\ =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}K(R,\nu;\sqrt{\rho_1},\sqrt{\rho_2},\sqrt{\rho_3},\sqrt{\rho_1-\rho_2+\rho_3})b(\rho_1)b(\rho_2)b(\rho_3)b(\rho_1-\rho_2+\rho_3)d\rho_1d\rho_2d\rho_3\\ \lesssim& R^{-2+\epsilon}\|b\|^4_{L^4}.\end{aligned}$$

By (4.22), the left hand side of the above inequality is bounded by

$$R^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{b(\rho_1)b(\rho_2)b(\rho_3)b(\rho_1-\rho_2+\rho_3)}{(1+R|\rho_1-\rho_2|\cdot|\rho_3-\rho_2|)^N}d\rho_1d\rho_2d\rho_3.$$

Therefore, it remains to prove

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{b(\rho_1)b(\rho_2)b(\rho_3)b(\rho_1-\rho_2+\rho_3)}{\big(1+R|\rho_1-\rho_2|\cdot|\rho_3-\rho_2|\big)^N} d\rho_1 d\rho_2 d\rho_3 \lesssim R^{-1+\epsilon}\|b\|_{L^4}^4. \tag{4.23}$$

Let $R = 2^{k_0} \gg 1$, we decompose the integral into

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{b(\rho_1)b(\rho_2)b(\rho_3)b(\rho_1-\rho_2+\rho_3)}{\big(1+R|\rho_1-\rho_2||\rho_3-\rho_2|\big)^N} d\rho_1 d\rho_2 d\rho_3 \\
=&\Big(\sum_{\{(i,j)\in\mathbb{N}^2; i+j\geqslant k_0\}} + \sum_{\{(i,j)\in\mathbb{N}^2; i+j\lesssim k_0\}} R^{-N}2^{N(i+j)}\Big) \\
&\int b(\rho_2)d\rho_2 \int_{|\rho_1-\rho_2|\sim 2^{-i}} b(\rho_1)d\rho_1 \int_{|\rho_3-\rho_2|\sim 2^{-j}} b(\rho_3)b(\rho_1-\rho_2+\rho_3)d\rho_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

To estimate it, we need the following lemma

Lemma 4.5. *We have the following estimate for the integral*

$$\int b(\rho_2)d\rho_2 \int_{|\rho_1-\rho_2|\sim 2^{-i}} b(\rho_1)d\rho_1 \int_{|\rho_3-\rho_2|\sim 2^{-j}} b(\rho_3)b(\rho_1-\rho_2+\rho_3)d\rho_3 \lesssim 2^{-(i+j)}\|b\|_{L^4}^4. \tag{4.25}$$

Proof. We first have by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|\rho_3-\rho_2|\sim 2^{-j}} b(\rho_3)b(\rho_1-\rho_2+\rho_3)d\rho_3 \\
\lesssim&\Big(\int_{|\rho_3-\rho_2|\sim 2^{-j}} |b(\rho_3)|^2 d\rho_3 \int_{|\rho_3-\rho_2|\sim 2^{-j}} |b(\rho_1-\rho_2+\rho_3)|^2 d\rho_3\Big)^{1/2} \\
\lesssim&\Big(\int_{|\rho_3-\rho_2|\sim 2^{-j}} |b(\rho_3)|^2 d\rho_3 \int_{|\rho|\sim 2^{-j}} |b(\rho_1+\rho)|^2 d\rho\Big)^{1/2} \\
\lesssim&\Big(\int_{|\rho_3-\rho_2|\sim 2^{-j}} |b(\rho_3)|^2 d\rho_3 \int_{|\rho-\rho_1|\sim 2^{-j}} |b(\rho)|^2 d\rho\Big)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Let I be the left hand side of (4.25). We estimate I by (4.26) and Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int b(\rho_2)\int_{|\rho_1-\rho_2|\sim 2^{-i}} \Big(\int_{|\rho_1-\rho|\sim 2^{-j}} |b(\rho)|^2 d\rho\Big)^{1/2} b(\rho_1)d\rho_1 \Big(\int_{|\rho_3-\rho_2|\sim 2^{-j}} |b(\rho_3)|^2 d\rho_3\Big)^{1/2} d\rho_2 \\
\lesssim&\|b\|_{L^4}\Big\|\int_{|\rho_1-\rho_2|\sim 2^{-i}} \Big(\int_{|\rho_1-\rho|\sim 2^{-j}} |b(\rho)|^2 d\rho\Big)^{1/2} |b(\rho_1)|d\rho_1\Big\|_{L^2_{\rho_2}} \Big\|\Big(\int_{|\rho_3-\rho_2|\sim 2^{-j}} |b(\rho_3)|^2 d\rho_3\Big)^{1/2}\Big\|_{L^4} \\
\lesssim&\|b\|_{L^4}\Big\|\chi_i * \big((\chi_j * |b|^2)^{\frac12}|b|\big)\Big\|_{L^2}\big\|\chi_j * |b|^2\big\|_{L^2}^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_j = \chi_j(\rho) = \chi(2^j\rho)$ and $\chi \in C_c^\infty([\frac14, 4])$. It is easy to see by the Young inequality

$$\big\|\chi_j * |b|^2\big\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \lesssim \|\chi_j\|_{L^1}^{1/2}\|b\|_{L^4} \lesssim 2^{-j/2}\|b\|_{L^4},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\chi_i * \Big((\chi_j * |b|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}|b|\Big)\Big\|_{L^2} \lesssim&\|\chi_i\|_{L^1}\Big\|(\chi_j * |b|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}|b|\Big\|_{L^2}\\ \lesssim&\|\chi_i\|_{L^1}\big\|\chi_j * |b|^2\big\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|b\|_{L^4}\\ \lesssim&2^{-i}2^{-j/2}\,\|b\|_{L^4}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the above estimates, we obtain

$$I \lesssim 2^{-(i+j)}\|b\|_{L^4}^4.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.5. ☐

Now we return to prove (4.23). Applying this lemma to (4.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{b(\rho_1)b(\rho_2)b(\rho_3)b(\rho_1-\rho_2+\rho_3)}{(1+R|\rho_1-\rho_2||\rho_3-\rho_2|)^N}d\rho_1 d\rho_2 d\rho_3\\ \lesssim&\Big(\sum_{\{(i,j)\in\mathbb{N}^2;i+j\geqslant k_0\}}2^{-(i+j)}+R^{-N}\sum_{\{(i,j)\in\mathbb{N}^2;i+j\lesssim k_0\}}2^{(N-1)(i+j)}\Big)\|b\|_{L^4}^4\\ \lesssim&R^{-1+\epsilon}\|b\|_{L^4}^4.\end{aligned}\tag{4.27}$$

Hence we prove (4.23), and so finish the proof of (4.7).

☐

We next prove (4.8) in Proposition 4.1. we need to prove the following lemma:

Lemma 4.6. *Let $R \gg 1$ and $f \in \mathbb{L}_1$, we have the following estimate for any $0<\epsilon\ll 1$*

$$\|(f\mathrm{d}\sigma)^\vee\|_{L^6_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)} \lesssim R^{-\frac{n-1}{3}+\epsilon}\|g\|_{L^2_\rho H_\omega^{\frac{n-1}{3},2}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})},\tag{4.28}$$

where $g(\xi)=f(|\xi|^2,\xi)$.

Proof. It suffices to estimate by scaling argument

$$\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}i^kY_{k,\ell}(\theta)\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big\|_{L^6_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}.\tag{4.29}$$

We divide the above integral into three cases to estimate.

- Case 1: $k\in\Omega_1:=\{k: R\ll\nu(k)\}$. Using (4.11) with $q=6$, we prove

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\sum_{k\in\Omega_1}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}i^kY_{k,\ell}(\theta)\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big\|_{L^6_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\\ \lesssim&e^{-cR}\Big\|\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{2(n-1)/3}\,|a_{k,\ell}(\rho)|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\Big\|_{L^2_\rho}\lesssim e^{-cR}\|g\|_{L^2_\rho H_\omega^{\frac{n-1}{3},2}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}.\end{aligned}$$

- Case 2: $k \in \Omega_2 := \{k : \nu(k) \sim R\}$. Applying (4.14) with $q = 6$ and $p = 2$, we show

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\| r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k\in\Omega_2}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} i^k Y_{k,\ell}(\theta)\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2} J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big\|_{L^6_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\\ \lesssim & R^{-(n-1)/3}\|g\|_{L^2_\rho H_\omega^{\frac{n-1}{3},2}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.30}$$

- Case 3: $k \in \Omega_3 := \{k : \nu(k) \ll R\}$. Note that the operator

$$T_\nu(a)(t,r) = \chi\Big(\frac{r}{R}\Big)\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2} h_\nu(r\rho)a(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)d\rho$$

where $|h_\nu(r)| \leqslant C/r$ and the operator

$$H_\nu(a)(t,r) = \chi\Big(\frac{r}{R}\Big)\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2} I_\nu(r\rho)a(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)d\rho,$$

where $\nu = \nu(k) = k + (n-2)/2$. Since

$$J_\nu(r) = I_\nu(r) + \bar{I}_\nu(r) + h_\nu(r),$$

our aim here is to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\| r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)} i^k Y_{k,\ell}(\theta)\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2} J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big\|_{L^6_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\\ \lesssim & R^{-\frac{n-1}{3}+\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{2(n-1)/3}\Big(\big\|T_{\nu(k)}(a_{k,\ell})(t,r)\big\|^2_{L^6_t(\mathbb{R};L^6_r(S_R))}+\\ &\big\|H_{\nu(k)}(a_{k,\ell})(t,r)\big\|^2_{L^6_t(\mathbb{R};L^6_r(S_R))}\Big)\Big)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

By making use of (4.19) with $q = 6$, we have

$$\|T_\nu(a)(t,r)\|_{L^6_{t,r}} \leqslant R^{-5/6}\|a\varphi\|_{L^{6/5}}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{2(n-1)/3}\big\|T_{\nu(k)}(a_{k,\ell})(t,r)\big\|^2_{L^6_t(\mathbb{R};L^6_r(S_R))}\Big)^{1/2}\\ \lesssim & R^{-5/6}\Big\|\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{2(n-1)/3}|a_{k,\ell}(\rho)|^2\Big)^{1/2}\varphi\Big\|_{L^{6/5}}\\ \lesssim & R^{-5/6}\|g\|_{L^2_\rho H_\omega^{\frac{n-1}{3},2}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.31}$$

On the other hand, by (2.10), one has $|I_\nu(r)| \lesssim r^{-1/2}$ when $k \in \Omega_3$. It is easy to see

$$\|H_\nu(a)(t,r)\|_{L^\infty_{t,r}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim R^{-1/2}\|a\varphi\|_{L^1}.$$

Hence, we have by interpolation this with (4.20) for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$\|H_\nu(a)(t,r)\|_{L^6_{t,r}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim R^{-1/2+\epsilon}\|a\varphi\|_{L^2}.$$

This shows us

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{2(n-1)/3}\,\big\|H_{\nu(k)}(a_{k,\ell})(t,r)\big\|^2_{L^6_t(\mathbb{R};L^6_r(S_R))}\Big)^{1/2}\\
&\lesssim R^{-1/2+\epsilon}\Big(\sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}(1+k)^{2(n-1)/3}\,\|a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\varphi(\rho)\|^2_{L^2}\Big)^{1/2}\\
&\lesssim R^{-1/2+\epsilon}\|g\|_{L^2_\rho H^{\frac{n-1}{3},2}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.32}$$

Collecting (4.31) and (4.32) to yield that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\|r^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}\sum_{k\in\Omega_3}\sum_{\ell=1}^{d(k)}i^kY_{k,\ell}(\theta)\int_0^\infty e^{-it\rho^2}J_{\nu(k)}(r\rho)a_{k,\ell}(\rho)\rho^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(\rho)\mathrm{d}\rho\Big\|_{L^6_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}\times A_R)}\\
&\lesssim R^{-\frac{n-1}{3}+\epsilon}\|g\|_{L^2_\rho H^{\frac{n-1}{3},2}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies (4.28), and so we finish the proof of Lemma 4.6.

□

5. Local smoothing estimate

In [15], Rogers developed an argument that the restriction estimate implies the local smoothing estimate under some suitable conditions. For the sake of convenience, we closely follow this argument to prove Corollary 1.1. In fact, by making use of the standard Littlewood-Paley argument, it can be reduced to prove the claim

$$\|e^{it\Delta}(1-\Delta_\theta)^{-s/2}u_0\|_{L^q_{t,x}([0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim N^{(2n(1/2-1/q)-2/q)+}\,\|u_0\|_{L^q_x},\quad \forall\, N\gg 1\tag{5.1}$$

where

$$\mathrm{supp}\ \mathcal{F}((1-\Delta_\theta)^{-s/2}u_0)\subset\{\xi:|\xi|\leqslant N\}.$$

Here we denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the Fourier transform. And we also use the notation $\hat{h}$ to express the Fourier transform of h. Let $h=(1-\Delta_\theta)^{-s/2}u_0$. Denote P_N the Littlewood-Paley projector, i.e.

$$P_Nh=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\Big(\chi\Big(\frac{|\xi|}{N}\Big)\hat{h}\Big),\qquad \chi\in\mathbb{C}_c^\infty([1/2,1]).$$

By Littlewood-Paley theory and **Claim** (5.1), one has for $\alpha > n(1/2-1/q)-2/q$

$$\begin{aligned}\|e^{it\Delta}h\|^2_{L^q_{t,x}([0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim & \|e^{it\Delta}P_{\lesssim 1}h\|^2_{L^q_{t,x}([0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{N\gg 1}\left\|e^{it\Delta}P_N h\right\|^2_{L^q_{t,x}([0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ \lesssim & \|u_0\|_{L^q_x(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \sum_{N\gg 1} N^{2[n(1/2-1/q)-2/q]+}\left\|P_N u_0\right\|^2_{L^q_x}\\ \lesssim & \|u_0\|_{L^q_x(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\|\Big(\sum_{N\gg 1} N^{q\alpha}\left|P_N u_0\right|^q\Big)^{1/q}\right\|^2_{L^q_x}\\ \lesssim & \|u_0\|_{L^q_x(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\|\Big(\sum_{N\gg 1} N^{2\alpha}\left|P_N u_0\right|^2\Big)^{1/2}\right\|^2_{L^q_x}\\ \simeq & \|u_0\|^2_{W^{\alpha,q}_x(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L^q_{t,x}([0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|(1-\Delta_\theta)^{s/2}u_0\|_{W^{\alpha,q}_x(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Now we are left to prove **Claim** (5.1). Assume supp $\hat{f}\subset[0,1]$. Note that

$$e^{it\Delta}f = \frac{1}{(it)^{n/2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i|x-y|^2/t}f(y)dy, \quad \forall\ t\in\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}.$$

On the other hand, we have for $t\neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}e^{it\Delta}f =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(t|\xi|^2+x\cdot\xi)}\hat{f}(\xi)d\xi = e^{-\frac{i|x|^2}{4t}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{it|\xi+\frac{x}{2t}|^2}\hat{f}(\xi)d\xi\\ =&\frac{1}{(it)^{n/2}}e^{-\frac{i|x|^2}{4t}}\left(e^{i\frac{\Delta}{t}}\hat{f}\right)\left(-\frac{x}{2t}\right).\end{aligned}$$

So we have for dyadic number N

$$\begin{aligned}\|e^{it\Delta}f\|_{L^q_{t,x}(|t|\sim N^2;|x|\lesssim N^2)} \lesssim & N^{-n}\left\|\left(e^{i\frac{\Delta}{t}}\hat{f}\right)\left(-\frac{\bullet}{2t}\right)\right\|_{L^q_{t,x}(|t|\sim N^2;|x|\lesssim N^2)}\\ \lesssim & N^{-n+\frac{2n+4}{q}}\left\|e^{it\Delta}\hat{f}\right\|_{L^q_{t,x}(|t|\sim N^{-2};|x|\lesssim 1)}.\end{aligned}$$

By making use of Theorem 1.1, we obtain for $q>2(n+1)/n$ and $\frac{n+2}{q}=\frac{n}{p'}$

$$\left\|e^{it\Delta}\hat{f}\right\|_{L^q_{t,x}(|t|\sim N^{-2};|x|\lesssim 1)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p_{\mu(r)}(\mathbb{R}^+;H^{s,p}_\theta(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))}. \tag{5.2}$$

This yields that

$$\|e^{it\Delta}f\|_{L^q_{t,x}(|t|\sim N^2;|x|\lesssim N^2)} \lesssim N^{-n+\frac{2n+4}{q}}\|f\|_{L^p_{\mu(r)}(\mathbb{R}^+;H^{s,p}_\theta(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))}.$$

This implies that

$$\|e^{it\Delta}(1-\Delta_\theta)^{-s/2}f\|_{L^q_{t,x}(|t|\sim N^2;|x|\lesssim N^2)} \lesssim N^{-n+\frac{2n+4}{q}}\|f\|_{L^p_x}. \tag{5.3}$$

Since $q > p$ when $q > 2(n+1)/n$, for any $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, we have by [15, Lemma 8]

$$\begin{aligned}&\|e^{it\Delta}(1-\Delta_\theta)^{-s/2}f\|_{L^q_{t,x}(|t|\sim N^2;x\in\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ \lesssim& N^{-n+\frac{2n+4}{q}+2n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})+\epsilon}\|f\|_{L^q_x}\\ \lesssim& N^{n(1-\frac{2}{q})+\epsilon}\|f\|_{L^q_x}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the scaling argument, if

$$\mathrm{supp}\widehat{f_{k,N}} \subset B_{2^{k/2}N} := \big\{\xi : |\xi| \in [0, 2^{k/2}N]\big\}, \qquad \forall\, k \geqslant 0,$$

then

$$\|e^{it\Delta}(1-\Delta_\theta)^{-\frac{s}{2}}f_{k,N}\|_{L^q_{t,x}([2^{-k},2^{-k+1}]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim N^{n(1-\frac{2}{q})+\epsilon}(2^{\frac{k}{2}}N)^{-\frac{2}{q}}\,\|f_{k,N}\|_{L^q_x}\,. \tag{5.4}$$

Since

$$\mathrm{supp}\hat{h} \subset [N/2, N] \subset B_{2^{k/2}N}, \qquad \forall k \geqslant 2,$$

we replace $(1-\Delta_\theta)^{-s/2}f_{k,N}$ by h to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|e^{it\Delta}h\|_{L^q_{t,x}([0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^n)} =&\Big(\sum_{k\geqslant 0}\|e^{it\Delta}(1-\Delta_\theta)^{-s/2}u_0\|^q_{L^q_{t,x}([2^{-k},2^{-k+1}]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{1/q}\\ \lesssim&\Big(\sum_{k\geqslant 0}2^{-k}\Big)^{1/q}N^{(2n(1/2-1/q)-2/q)+}\,\|u_0\|_{L^q_x}\,.\end{aligned}\tag{5.5}$$

This proves (5.1), and so we complete the proof of Corollary 1.1.

References

[1] J. Bourgain, Besicovitch type maximal operators and applications to Fourier analysis, GAFA, 1(1991), 147-187.

[2] J. Bourgain and L. Guth, Bounds on oscillatory integral operators based on multilinear estimates, Geom. Funct. Anal., 21(2011), 1239-1295.

[3] J. Barcelo and A. Cordoba, Band-limited functions: L^p-convergence, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 312 (1989), 1-15.

[4] A. Carbery, E. Romera and F. Soria, Radial weights and mixed norm inequalities for the disc multiplier, J. Funct. Anal., 109(1992), 52-75.

[5] A. Códoba, The Kakeya maximal function and the spherical summation multipliers, Amer. J. Math., 99(1977),1-22.

[6] A. Códoba, The disc multipliers, Duke Math. J., 58(1989),21-29.

[7] L. D. Carli and L. Grafakos, On the restriction conjecture, Michigan Math. J., 52 (2004), 163-180.

[8] C. Fefferman and E.M. Stein, Some maximal inequalities, Amer. J. Math., 93(1971), 107-115.

[9] G. Gigante and F. Soria, On the boundedness in $H^{1/4}$ of the maximal square function associated with the Schrödinger equation, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 77(2008), 51-68.

[10] G. Mockenhaupt, On radial weights for the spherical summation operator, J. Funct. Anal., 91(1990), 174-181.

[11] D. Müller and A. Seeger, Regularity properties of wave propagation on conic manifolds and applications to spectral multipliers, Adv. Math., 161(2001), 41-130.

[12] A. Moyua, A. Vargas, and L. Vega. Restriction theorems and maximal operators related to oscillatory integrals in $\mathbb{R}^3$, Duke Math. J., 96(1999), 547-574.

[13] C. Miao, J. Zhang, and J. Zheng, A note on the cone restriction conjecture, Proceedings of the AMS, 140(2012), 2091-2102.

[14] S. Machihara, M. Nakamura, K. Nakanishi and T. Ozawa, Endpoint Strichartz estimates and global solutions for the nonlinear Dirac equation, J. Funct. Anal., 219(2005), 1-20.

[15] K. M. Rogers, A local smoothing estimate for the Schrödinger equation, Adv. Math., 219 (2008), 2105-2122.

[16] S. Shao, Sharp linear and bilinear restriction estimates for paraboloids in the cylindrically symmetric case, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, 25(2009), 1127-1168.

[17] S. Shao, A note on the cone restriction conjecture in the cylindrically symmetric case, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 137(2009) 135-143.

[18] S. Shao, On Localization of the Schrödinger Maximal Operator, arXiv1006.278v1.

[19] K. Stempak, A Weighted uniform L^p estimate of Bessel functions: A note on a paper of Guo, Proceedings of the AMS. 128 (2000) 2943-2945.

[20] E.M. Stein, Some problems in harmonic analysis, Harmonic analysis in Euclidean spaces (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Williams Coll. Williamstown, Mass., 1978), Part1, pp. 3-20.

[21] E.M. Stein, Harmonic analysis: real variable methods, orthogonality and oscillatory integrals, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 43, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[22] E.M. Stein and G. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1971, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 32. MR0304972.

[23] R. Strichartz, Restrictions of Fourier transforms to quadratic surfaces and decay of solutions of wave equations, Duke. Math. J., 44(1977), 705-714.

[24] J. Sterbenz (with an Appendix by I. Rodnianski), Angular regularity and Strichartz estimates for the wave equation, IMRN, 4(2005), 187-231.

[25] C. D. Sogge, Fourier Integrals in Classical Analysis. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 105. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[26] T.Tao, Recent progress on the restriction conjecture, In Fourier analysis and convexity, 217-243. Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004.

[27] T.Tao, The Bochner-Riesz conjecture implies the restriction conjecture, Duke Math. J., 96(1999), 363-375.

[28] T.Tao, Endpoint bilinear restriction theorems for the cone and some sharp null form estimates, Math. Z., 238(2001), 215-268.

[29] T.Tao, A sharp bilinear restrictions estimate for paraboloids, Geom. Funct. Anal., 13(2003), 1359-1384.

[30] T.Tao, A. Vargas and L. Vega, A bilinear approach to the restriction and Kakeya conjectures, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 11 (1998), 967-1000.

[31] P. A. Tomas, A restriction theorem for the Fourier transform, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 81(1975) 477-478.

[32] T. Wolff, A sharp bilinear cone restriction estimate, Ann. of Math., (2) 153(2001), 661-698.

[33] G. N. Watson, A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions. Second Edition Cambridge University Press, (1944).

[34] A. Zygmund, On Fourier coefficients and transforms of functions of two variables, Studia Math., 50(1974) 189-201.

Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, P. O. Box 8009, Beijing, China, 100088
E-mail address: `miao_changxing@iapcm.ac.cn`

Department of Mathematics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China, 100081; Beijing Computational Science Research Center, Beijing, China
E-mail address: `zhang_junyong@bit.edu.cn`

Université Nice Sophia-Antipolis, 06108 Nice Cedex 02, France
E-mail address: `zhengjiqiang@gmail.com, zheng@unice.fr`